COMPETITION TRIBUNAL REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: 43/CR/Jun11

In the matter	betw	een:			
The Competit	Applicant				
and					
Sentraal-Suic	l Co-o	perative Limited	Respondent		
Panel	:	N Manoim (Presiding Member), Y Carrim (Trib Member), and A Wessels (Tribunal Member)	unal		
Heard on	:	07 September 2011			
Decided on	:	07 September 2011			
Order					

The Tribunal hereby confirms the order as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and the respondent, annexed hereto marked "A".

Presiding Member

N Manoim

Concurring: Y Carrim and A Wessels

1

IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA HELD IN PRETORIA

CT Case No. CC Case No. 2009Mar4349

In the matter between:

THE COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

and

SENTRAAL-SUID CO-OPERATIVE LIMITED

9th Respondent

In re

THE COMPETITION COMMISSION

Applicant

and

AFGRI OPERATIONS LIMITED	1 st Respondent
SENWES LIMITED	2 nd Respondent
NWK LIMITED	3 rd Respondent
OVK OPERATIONS LIMITED	4 th Respondent
SUIDWES AGRICULTURE (PTY) LIMITED	5 th Respondent
VRYSTAAT KOÖPERASIE BEPERK	6th Respondent
OVERBERG AGRI BEDRYWE (PTY) LIMITED	7th Respondent
DIE HUMANSDORPSE KOÖPERASIE BEPERK	8 th Respondent
DIE HUMANSDURPSE KOUPERASIE DEPERA	9 th Respondent
SENTRAAL-SUID CO-OPERATIVE LIMITED	
GWK LIMITED	10 th Respondent
KAAP AGRI BEDRYF LIMITED	11 th Respondent
MGK BEDRYFSMAATSKAPPY (PTY) LIMITED	12 th Respondent
TUINROETE AGRI BEPERK	13 th Respondent
MOOREESBURGSE KORINGBOERE (EDMS) BEPERK	14 th Respondent
TWK LANDBOU BEPERK	15 th Respondent
NTK LIMPOPO AGRIC BEPERK	16 th Respondent
GRAIN SILO INDUSTRY (PTY) LTD	17th Respondent
OLAMIA CHECK RECORDIZE (1 . 1) WIN	

CONSENT AGREEMENT IN TERMS OF SECTION 49D READ WITH SECTION 58(1)(a)(iii) and 58(1)(b) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 89 OF 1998), AS AMENDED, BETWEEN THE COMPETITION COMMISSION ("THE COMMISSION") AND SENTRAAL-SUID CO-OPERATIVE LIMITED ("SSK"), IN RESPECT OF AN ALLEGED CONTRAVENTION OF SECTION 4(1)(b)(i) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 ("THE ACT").

The Commission and SSK hereby agree that application be made to the *Tribunal* for the confirmation of this Consent Agreement in terms of section 58 (1)(a)(iii) as read with section 58(1)(b) of the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended, on the terms set out below:

1. Definitions

For the purposes of this Consent Agreement the following definitions shall apply:

- 1.1. "Act" means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended;;
- 1.2. "Commission" means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 1st Floor, Mulayo Building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng;
- 1.3. "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Commission, appointed in terms of section 22 of the Act;
- 1.4. "Complaint" means the complaint under case number 2009Mar4349 initiated by the Commissioner in terms of section 49B of the Act, including a complaint concerned with allegations of price fixing in terms of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act initiated on 17 March 2009 as well as an expanded initiation on 25 May 2010 after the decision was made to include all the members and shareholders of the Grain Silo Industry;
- 1.5. "Consent Agreement" means this agreement duly signed and concluded between the Commission and SSK;
- 1.6. "Grain Silo Industry" means Grain Silo Industry (Pty) Ltd, a private company duly incorporated in accordance with the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, having its registered offices at Lynwood Corporate Park, Alkantrantstraat, Lynwood Manor, Pretoria, Gauteng Province. The GSI represents its members in public forums wherein matters related to the storage and trading of grain and oilseeds are discussed and provides specialist research services that members may request on an ad-hoc basis. The GSI represents its constituent members in interactions with the Agricultural Products Division of the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (the "APD" previously "SAFEX").

- 1.7. "SSK" means Sentraal-Suid Co-operative Limited, an agricultural co-operative with limited liability registered in accordance with the laws of the Republic of South-Africa with registration number K6/3/3/115 and with its registered office and main place of business at Voortrekstraat 34, Swellendam, Wes Kaap, Suid Afrika, 6740.
- 1.8. "Parties" means the Commission and SSK;
- 1.9. "Respondent" means for purposes of this agreement SSK;
- 1.10. "Respondents" means Respondents one (1) to seventeen (17) described above;
- 1.11. "Safex" means the South African Futures Exchange which was established to provide market participants with a price determination mechanism and a price risk management facility through which they can manage their exposure to adverse price movements in the underlying commodity.
- 1.12. "Tribunal" means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 3rd Floor, Mulayo building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng.

2. The Complaint and Complaint Investigation

- On 17 March 2009 the Commissioner initiated a complaint against Afgri Operations Limited ("Afgri"), Senwes Limited ("Senwes"), Noord-Wes Kooperasie Limited ("NWK"), OVK Operations Limited ("OVK"), Suidwes (Pty) Limited ("Suidwes"), Vrystaatse Kooperasie Limited ("VKB") and the Grain Silo Industry ("GSI") for alleged contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.
- 2.2. The investigation revealed that the storage rate is agreed to and assented to not only by the entities against whom the original complaints initiation was made, but by all members and shareholders of GSI. In the circumstances, on 25 May 2010 the Commissioner expanded the investigation to refer to all seventeen (17) respondents.

2.3. The Commission conducted its investigation and concluded that:

the respondents and GSI have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act. The essence of the conduct complained of is that the respondents and GSI have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act in that they fix the prices of the daily storage tariff for the storage of grain. This is done for application throughout the Republic. The first to sixteenth respondents are all former cooperatives who own grain storage silos and provide other agricultural services and are competitors in the market for grain storage.

2.4. The Commission found that:

2.4.1. Notwithstanding the fact that they are competitors, the first to sixteenth respondents are all shareholders or members of the GSI. Although the GSI is a private company, it amounts to an industry association for members of the grain storage industry. SAFEX placed the onus for the determination of the storage rate on the GSI on the basis that it had the necessary knowledge and understanding of the costs involved in providing storage. Until 2008, SAFEX requested the standardised tariff from the GSI on an annual basis. In 2008, as is set out below, the GSI declined to provide the standardised storage tariff to SAFEX any longer on account of the Commission's contentions that it and its members were contravening section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

It was the GSI's technical committee that was responsible for fixing the daily storage tariff on behalf of the GSI and its members. In response to requests from SAFEX, the GSI consulted its shareholders. The shareholders submitted individual proposals as to the appropriate storage rate to GSI. These rates were collated and evaluated by the GSI's technical committee, the members of which are from competing silo companies. The technical committee then decided on a rate and this was then submitted to SAFEX on behalf of GSI and its shareholders.

The essence of the conduct complained of is that the daily storage tariff proposed by GSI is agreed to and assented to by all of the

2.4.3.

2.4.2.

2.3.1.

respondents. Given that the first to sixteenth respondents are all competitors in the provision of storage services, the joint determination of the daily storage rate amounts to prohibited price fixing in that it quite simply amounts to an agreement between firms in a horizontal relationship for the direct fixing of storage prices.

2.4.4. The manner in which the SAFEX storage tariff is determined is, in the Commission's view, restrictive of competition. In addition to agreeing to the SAFEX rate, the respondents exchanged detailed cost information in addition, the storage tariff determined for SAFEX purposes has been used to determine storage fees in respect of sales transactions in the physical market. This amount to collusion.

2.5. The Commission took a decision to refer to the Tribunal its complaint that is described above.

Statement of conduct by SSK

- 3.1. SSK admits that, as a member of the GSI, it was asked on two occasions for input regarding the standardised daily wheat storage tariff which were to be recommended to SAFEX, namely:
- 3.1.1. via e-mail during February 2003;
- 3.1.2. via e-mail in June 2007 when it was requested to Indicate if its systems could accommodate ½ cent tariffs.
- 3.2. SSK therefore participated, to the aforesaid limited extent, in agreeing on the standardised daily wheat storage tariffs which were recommended to SAFEX. As it had (and still has) no other option in the market circumstances, it also used the SAFEX daily wheat storage tariffs in respect of transactions in the physical market.
- 3.3. Although SSK acted bona fides, it accepts that its aforesaid conduct may be perceived as constituting a contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

4. Administrative Penalty

- 4.1. Having regard to the provisions of sections 58(1)(a)(iii) as read with sections 59(1)(a), 59(2) and 59(3) of the Act, SSK accepts that a contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) may lead to the imposition of an administrative penalty where the *Tribunal* deems it appropriate.
- 4.2. SSK will therefore pay an administrative penalty in the amount of R75 852.04.
- 4.3. This amount constitutes 4% (four per cent) of the total wheat daily storage tariff silo turnover for the 2009 financial year;
- 4.4. SSK will pay the amount set out in paragraph 4.2 above to the Commission upon the date of confirmation of this Consent Agreement by the Tribunal.
- 4.5. This payment shall be made into the Commission's bank account, details of which are as follows:

Bank name:

Absa Bank

Branch name:

Pretoria

Account holder:

Competition Commission Fees Account

Account number:

4050778576

Account type:

Current Account

Branch Code:

323 345

- 4.6. The payment will be paid over by the Commission to the National Revenue Fund in accordance with section 59(4) of the Act.
- 5. Agreement Concerning Future Conduct
- 5.1. SSK agrees to fully cooperate with the Commission in relation to the prosecution of the complaint referral. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, SSK specifically agrees to:

Testify in the complaint referral (if any) in respect of alleged 5,1.1. contraventions covered by this Consent Agreement; and 5.1.2. To the extent that it is in existence, provide evidence, written or otherwise, which is in its possession or under its control, concerning the alleged contraventions contained in this Consent Agreement. SSK agrees that it will in future refrain from the provision of contractual 5.2. undertakings that have the potential to constitute contraventions of section 4(1)(b) of the Act. 5.3. SSK shall develop, implement and monitor a competition law compliance programme incorporating corporate governance designed to ensure that its employees, management, directors and agents do not engage in future contraventions of the Compelition Act. In particular, SSK shall: 5.3.1. draft and implement a competition policy and compliance programme; provide training on competition law compliance on issues particularly 5.3.2. relevant to SSK and its employees and officials; provide training on competition law compliance to all persons and/or 5.3.3. officials employed by SSK in managerial and marketing capacities after the confirmation of this Consent Agreement by the Tribunal; 5.3.4. update the competition policy and training annually to ensure SSK's continued compliance with the Act. 5.4. SSK shall submit a copy of such compliance programme to the Commission within 60 days of the date of confirmation of the Consent

6. Full and Final Settlement

Agreement by the Tribunal.

This agreement, upon confirmation as an order by the *Tribunal*, is entered into in full and final settlement and concludes all proceedings between the *Commission* and *SSK* relating to any alleged contravention by the *Respondents* of the Act that is the subject of the *Commission*'s investigation under case no

2009Mar4349.

Dated and signed at Swallendomon the	day of スノ	June 2011.
For SSK		

Chief Executive Officer

Dated and signed at Netrua on the Z3day of Jul

Competition Commissioner